

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,176	03/09/2004	Michael Charles Shelton	71626 US02	3518
7:	590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER	
Michael K. Carrier			RAZA, SAIRA B	
Eastman Chem	ical Company			
P.O. Box 511			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kingsport, TN	ingsport, TN 37662-5075			
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1 6 4 4 4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summer	10/796,176 SHELTON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saira Raza	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Expante Quayle, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		D.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-44, drawn to a cellulose mixed ester, classified in class 536, subclass various.
  - II. Claims 45-54, 58, and 60-66, drawn to a coating composition, classified in class 428, subclass 532.
  - III. Claims 55-56, drawn to a pigment dispersion, classified in class 106, subclass 213+.
  - IV. Claim 57, drawn to a radiation curable coating, classified in class 522, subclass various.
  - V. Claim 59, drawn to an ink composition, classified in class 106, subclass 31.13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II (III, IV, V) are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as intermediate product (the cellulose ester product) is deemed to be useful as a molding composition or fixotropic agent and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Inventions II to V are each separate and patentably distinct from each other, because the inventions have different effects or modes of operation. In the instant case, the invention of group II provides protection to a substrate, the invention of group III provides pigmentation to a substrate, the invention of group IV is activated in the presence of UV light, and the invention of group V is dispensed in a controlled manner.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

Art Unit: 1711

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have

Page 3

acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Claims 1-65 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species groups

comprising the various materials describing:

A) The various compositions of claims 1-13; claims 14-31; or claims 32-44;

B) The various compositions of claims 45, 48, 49, and 64; claims 46, 50-54, 60-63, and 65; or

claims 47, and 66;

C) The additives in claims 49 & 51;

D) The substrates in claims 60-63;

The election of an ultimate species for each of the aforementioned groups is requested. For

example, applicant can elect claims 1-13 for group A, claims 45, 48, 49, and 64 for group B, tinting

pigments for group C, and plastic for group D.

6. The species are independent or distinct because they represent different embodiments of the

invention. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though

this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an

identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or

that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable

generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must

indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

8. A telephone call was made to Polly Owen on March 10, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saira Raza whose telephone number is (571) 272-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,176

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 5